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Classification of pathogenic species according to the distinct host transcriptional responses that they elicit may become a relevant tool for microarray-based diagnosis of infection. Individual strains of *Mycobacterium avium*, an opportunistic pathogen in humans, have previously been shown to differ in terms of growth and persistence. In order to cover a wide spectrum of virulence, we selected four *M. avium* isolates (2151SmO, 2151SmT, SE01, TMC724) that have distinct intramacrophage replication characteristics and cause differential activation in human macrophages. Following infection with each of these strains, the expression of 12,558 genes in human macrophages was systematically analyzed by microarray technology. Fifty genes (including genes encoding proinflammatory cytokines, chemokines, signaling, and adhesion molecules) were differentially expressed more than twofold in response to all of the *M. avium* isolates investigated and therefore constitute a common macrophage signature in response to *M. avium*. The magnitude of regulation of most of these genes was directly correlated with the host cell-activating capacity of the particular *M. avium* strain. The regulation of a number of genes not previously associated with mycobacterial infections was apparent; these genes included genes encoding lymphocyte antigen 64 and myosin X. In addition, individual response patterns typical for some *M. avium* isolates could be defined by the pronounced upregulation of interleukin-12p40 (IL-12p40) (in the case of 2151SmO) or the specific upregulation of SOCS-1 and IL-10 (in the case of SE01) in macrophages. TMC724, a strain of avian origin, could not be classified by any one of these schemes, possibly indicating the limits of pathogen categorization solely by immune response signatures.

The outcome of infection is the net consequence of the immune defenses of the host and a pathogen’s capacity to subvert them. Macrophages play a central role in regulating innate and acquired immune responses against pathogens, but several mycobacterial species have developed strategies to persist in these cells even in the face of fully developed T-cell immunity (reviewed in references 40 and 51). *Mycobacterium avium* is an environmental microorganism and an opportunistic pathogen in humans (33). *M. avium* causes lymphadenopathies in children, pneumonia in the context of predisposing lung conditions, and disseminated infections in advanced-stage AIDS patients (31, 34). *M. avium* has proven to be useful in mouse models of infection to define key requirements in mycobacterial infections (3, 6, 8, 15, 21, 29).

Of particular interest when host-pathogen relationships at the species level are compared, *M. avium* strains having different origins or morphotypes have been shown to differ widely in terms of replication and persistence, both in vitro and in vivo in a mouse model of infection (5, 9, 15, 29, 43, 48, 52), although the molecular basis for this variability in virulence remains undetermined. Previous studies demonstrated an inverse correlation between the virulence of some *M. avium* isolates and their ability to induce tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) in murine macrophages, suggesting that virulent strains elicit only very limited activation of macrophage effector mechanisms, thereby escaping elimination (24, 49). Using primary human monocyte-derived macrophages, we recently demonstrated that intracellular growth control of some *M. avium* strains was critically dependent on the extent of mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase phosphorylation, one essential signaling pathway of macrophage activation (9). However, our studies also demonstrated that there is no direct and simple correlation between MAP kinase phosphorylation, TNF-α secretion, and the capacity of different *M. avium* strains to replicate inside macrophages.

The mechanisms underlying virulence and persistence may therefore be more complex and may involve the coregulation of specific signaling and transcriptional machinery in response to each individual *M. avium* isolate. In the current study we performed a systematic, comparative analysis addressing the transcriptional response of macrophages after infection with *M. avium* strains with different origins and virulence characteristics. We carefully selected four strains which reflect a wide repertoire of *M. avium* infectivity and persistence.
M. avium 2151 was initially isolated from an AIDS patient in the United States and was classified as a serovar 2 strain (7). Its stably subcultivated morphotypes (smooth opaque [SmO] and smooth transparent [SmT]) have become an intensively studied and well-established model for colony morphotypes in M. avium (5, 15, 20, 41). 2151SmO is known to strongly activate macrophages of mouse and human origin and fails to multiply intracellularly. SmT only weakly activates human and mouse macrophages and shows progressive intracellular growth (9, 54). A second isolate from a European AIDS patient (SE01) was included in the study because it represents a different, yet common serovar (serovar 4), is virulent in mice, and has been shown to readily replicate within human macrophages in the face of massive host cell activation (9). The fourth strain, TMC724 (= ATCC 25291), has been used by various laboratories to study macrophage activation and granuloma formation in the mouse model, in which it is the most virulent strain known to date (5, 21, 29). In contrast to the clinical isolates of M. avium, TMC724 was originally cultured from fowl. Since nothing is known about the virulence of avian isolates in human macrophages, analysis of the gene expression pattern induced by this strain afforded a unique opportunity to compare evolutionarily distant prototypes of M. avium.

When they encounter microbes and microbial structures, macrophages undergo complex functional reprogramming, as recently shown by comprehensive gene expression analyses (10, 14, 22, 42). Our study corroborates that there is an overlapping pattern of regulated genes in human macrophages representing a general inflammatory response to all isolates of M. avium. The quantity of differentially expressed genes and the magnitude of gene regulation are directly correlated with the overall macrophage-activating capacity of the individual M. avium strain used for infection, as determined by MAP kinase phosphorylation and TNF-α production. Additionally, some M. avium strains preferentially induce regulation of a private set of genes that may strain specifically perturb the macrophage response to infection or that may counteract antimycobacterial effector mechanisms. Importantly, however, one strain (TMC724) could not be classified by gene response signatures, most likely due to a lack of overall host cell activation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacteria. M. avium strain TMC724 (serovar 2), originally isolated from fowl, causes a progressive infection with a high bacterial burden in all infected organs and accelerated death of infected mice (21, 29). The two morphotypes of M. avium strain 2151 (serovar 2), smooth transient (SmT) and smooth opaque (SmO), are subclones of an isolate obtained from an AIDS patient (7). While the SmT morphology causes a progressive infection in mice comparable to that caused by strain TMC724, the SmO morphology of strain 2151 is eradicated and therefore classified as nonvirulent (4, 5, 9).

In the mouse model, in which it is the most virulent strain known to date (5, 21, 29), in contrast to the clinical isolates of M. avium, TMC724 was originally cultured from fowl. Since nothing is known about the virulence of avian isolates in human macrophages, analysis of the gene expression pattern induced by this strain afforded a unique opportunity to compare evolutionarily distant prototypes of M. avium.

When they encounter microbes and microbial structures, macrophages undergo complex functional reprogramming, as recently shown by comprehensive gene expression analyses (10, 14, 22, 42). Our study corroborates that there is an overlapping pattern of regulated genes in human macrophages representing a general inflammatory response to all isolates of M. avium. The quantity of differentially expressed genes and the magnitude of gene regulation are directly correlated with the overall macrophage-activating capacity of the individual M. avium strain used for infection, as determined by MAP kinase phosphorylation and TNF-α production. Additionally, some M. avium strains preferentially induce regulation of a private set of genes that may strain specifically perturb the macrophage response to infection or that may counteract antimycobacterial effector mechanisms. Importantly, however, one strain (TMC724) could not be classified by gene response signatures, most likely due to a lack of overall host cell activation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacteria. M. avium strain TMC724 (serovar 2), originally isolated from fowl, causes a progressive infection with a high bacterial burden in all infected organs and accelerated death of infected mice (21, 29). The two morphotypes of M. avium strain 2151 (serovar 2), smooth transient (SmT) and smooth opaque (SmO), are subclones of an isolate obtained from an AIDS patient (7). While the SmT morphology causes a progressive infection in mice comparable to that caused by strain TMC724, the SmO morphology of strain 2151 is eradicated and therefore classified as nonvirulent (4, 5, 9).

In the mouse model, in which it is the most virulent strain known to date (5, 21, 29), in contrast to the clinical isolates of M. avium, TMC724 was originally cultured from fowl. Since nothing is known about the virulence of avian isolates in human macrophages, analysis of the gene expression pattern induced by this strain afforded a unique opportunity to compare evolutionarily distant prototypes of M. avium.

When they encounter microbes and microbial structures, macrophages undergo complex functional reprogramming, as recently shown by comprehensive gene expression analyses (10, 14, 22, 42). Our study corroborates that there is an overlapping pattern of regulated genes in human macrophages representing a general inflammatory response to all isolates of M. avium. The quantity of differentially expressed genes and the magnitude of gene regulation are directly correlated with the overall macrophage-activating capacity of the individual M. avium strain used for infection, as determined by MAP kinase phosphorylation and TNF-α production. Additionally, some M. avium strains preferentially induce regulation of a private set of genes that may strain specifically perturb the macrophage response to infection or that may counteract antimycobacterial effector mechanisms. Importantly, however, one strain (TMC724) could not be classified by gene response signatures, most likely due to a lack of overall host cell activation.
was comparable in terms of the distribution of the ages, genders, and purified protein derivative reactivities of the individuals.

For biotin-labeled target synthesis starting from total RNA, reactions were performed using standard protocols supplied by the manufacturer (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA). Briefly, 8 μg total RNA (equal amounts from five different donors per hybridization) were converted to double-stranded DNA using 100 pmol of a T7T2 primer (Eurongentic, Seraing, Belgium) containing a T7 promoter. The double-stranded DNA was then used directly in an in vitro transcription reaction in the presence of biotinylated nucleotides using a T7 RNA polymerase (BioArray high-yield RNA transcript labeling kit; ENZO, New York, NY). The concentration of biotin-labeled cRNA was determined by UV absorbance. In all cases, 12.5 μg of each biotinylated cRNA preparation was fragmented and placed in a hybridization cocktail containing four biotinylated hybridization controls (BioB, BioC, BioD, and Cre) as recommended by the manufacturer. Samples were hybridized to an identical lot of Affymetrix U95Av2 human genome GeneChips for 16 h. Each U95Av2 GeneChip contains oligonucleotides for 12,558 human genes and expressed sequence tags derived from common UniGene clusters. After hybridization the GeneChips were washed, stained with streptavidin-phycoerythrin, and read using an Affymetrix GeneChip fluidic station and scanner.

Fluorescence intensities were normalized to median array intensities for all conditions tested, and the fold changes were calculated relative to unstimulated baseline controls. Analysis of data was done with gene expression software (GeneChip, MicroDB, and Data Mining Tool; all obtained from Affymetrix) with donor pools, each of which consisted of five individual donors. Unless indicated otherwise specifically below, genes represented by more than one transcript on the Affymetrix U95Av2 GeneChip were considered a single gene for the discussion of absolute numbers of differentially expressed individual genes.

Statistical analysis. For comparison of RT-PCR results, the global hypothesis was tested according to Friedman. For posttesting, data obtained from independent experiments were compared using the Wilcoxon rank test. The ε-error was corrected by the Shaffer procedure.

RESULTS

Intramacrophage replication characteristics of Mycobacterium avium strains used in this study. Four different M. avium isolates (TMC724, 2151SmT, 2151SmO, and SE01) that have previously been well characterized in terms of growth and persistence in vitro and in vivo were used in this study (4, 5, 9, 29).

Determination of the viable CFU inside macrophages over a 7-day period postinfection revealed that 2151SmO was slowly eliminated, that TMC724 persisted at inoculum levels, and that 2151SmT and SE01 replicated extensively over time (Fig. 1). Overall, these characteristics of replication and persistence are in good accordance with results from murine infection models, with the notable exception of TMC724, which failed to show the supreme virulence exhibited in mice in vivo (21, 29). Nevertheless, with one strain nearly eradicated, one persisting, and two highly replicating, a wide spectrum of virulence was represented even in this small sample of M. avium strains.

TNF-α release and MAP kinase activation of macrophages infected with different strains of Mycobacterium avium. The virulence of M. avium strains has been previously been correlated to their capacity to induce cytokine release from infected macrophages (5, 24). We compared the TNF-α production of human monocyte-derived macrophages after infection (10 bacteria per macrophage) with four selected M. avium strains (Fig. 2A). TMC724 and 2151SmT induced only small amounts of TNF-α, and consistently higher TNF-α levels were induced by 2151SmT than by TMC724 when an identical multiplicity of infection (MOI) was used for stimulation. In contrast, 2151SmO and SE01 induced the release of large amounts of TNF-α, and SE01 reproducibly triggered the highest levels of TNF-α.
It appeared that it is possible that the differential capacity of macrophages to secrete TNF-α in response to different M. avium strains might be determined by the differential intensity of pretranscriptional signaling events. We therefore analyzed MAP kinase activation in human macrophages induced by the four M. avium strains after 30 min of stimulation. TMC724 and 2151SmT induced only weak phosphorylation of p38 and ERK1/2 in human macrophages (Fig. 2B and data not shown). However, the signal obtained for 2151SmT was usually stronger than that obtained for TMC724 when a similar MOI (10 bacteria per macrophage) was used for stimulation. In contrast, 2151SmO and SE01 induced marked MAP kinase phosphorylation, and the signal induced by SE01 was consistently stronger than that induced by 2151SmO (Fig. 2B).

These data indicate that the virulence, as measured by the capacity to replicate within macrophages (Fig. 1), of individual M. avium strains is not consistently associated with the absence of strong macrophase activation. Conversely, the lowest levels of TNF-α induction or MAP kinase phosphorylation need not necessarily correlate with a pronounced capacity of a given strain to multiply. Overall, the quantity of macrophage responses, such as the level of TNF-α secretion, after stimulation with individual M. avium isolates is governed by the magnitude of signal events, such as MAP kinase activation, occurring very early after infection.

**Gene expression profiles of human macrophages induced by different strains of M. avium.** In order to investigate whether the macrophage response after infection with individual mycobacterial isolates might also differ qualitatively (i.e., in terms of the kind of transcriptional response), we applied a systematic approach using microarray analyses. Macrophages of different donors were infected with each of the four M. avium strains at a ratio of 10 bacteria per macrophage. Total RNA was isolated 4 h postinfection. We and other workers have previously noted the donor-dependent variability of macrophage responses to M. avium (9, 28). To minimize donor-dependent confounding effects, equal amounts of total RNA obtained from cells of five individual donors were pooled to perform biotin-labeled target synthesis for array hybridization. The entire experimental approach was repeated with a second donor pool comparable in terms of the distribution of the ages, genders, and purified protein derivative reactivities of the individuals. Only genes that were differentially expressed compared to unstimulated control macrophages with a change in the expression level of at least twofold (induced or repressed) in both donor pools were considered for further in-depth analysis and are included in the tables if the statistical criteria described in Materials and Methods were met.

TMC724 induced differential expression of 57 genes (51 genes induced, 6 genes repressed) compared to uninfected macrophages (Fig. 3). 2151SmT induced regulation of 113 genes induced, 6 genes repressed) compared to uninfected control cells using microarray technology. An array analysis was performed with two independent donor pools, each comprising five individuals. The total number of differentially expressed genes (induction or suppression) found in both donor pools is indicated.

When the infection-induced macrophage transcriptomes were compared, the gene expression patterns in response to the M. avium strains were found to overlap. Fifty different genes (47 genes induced, 3 genes repressed) were identified as differentially expressed in response to all strains (Table 1). At this early time (4 h after infection) more than one-third of these differentially expressed genes are involved in a proinflammatory macrophage response, and the molecules involved include cytokines (IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α, lymphotixin α), chemokines (RANTES, IL-8, monocyte chemoattractant protein 1, macrophage inflammatory protein 1α [MIP-1α], MIP-1β, MIP-2α, MIP-2β, MIP-3α, etc.), cytokine and chemokine receptors (IL-7 receptor, CCR2, CXCXR4), and adhesion molecules (ICAM-1) (Table 1). The changes in the expression levels of genes in these functional categories were more pronounced than the changes in the expression levels of genes having a different functional background. Additionally, a number of genes whose products are involved in signaling cascades, transcriptional events, the cell cycle, and apoptosis, as well as genes encoding metabolic enzymes, were differentially expressed in response to infection with all M. avium strains (Table 1). In general, for the majority of genes, the magnitude of regulation in response to the individual M. avium strains could be directly correlated with the TNF-α-inducing capacity of each isolate (Fig. 2).

To independently confirm the microarray results for gene induction by M. avium, three genes induced by all M. avium strains were selected from different categories, and their expression was analyzed by quantitative PCR using the same RNA samples with which microarrays had been hybridized. Figure 4 shows that the expression levels of the cytokine TNF-α (Fig. 4A), the chemokine RANTES (Fig. 4B), and the ancestral pattern recognition receptor PTX3 (Fig. 4C) induced by each strain indeed were the same order of magnitude as the expression levels observed in the microarray analyses (Fig. 4 and Table 1).

**Search for a “virulence response signature”: M. avium strain-specific gene expression profiles of human macrophages.** The number of differentially expressed genes in response to infection with four M. avium strains. Human macrophages were infected with M. avium strains TMC724, 2151SmT, 2151SmO, and SE01 (MOI, 10) for 4 h. Differential gene expression was compared to expression in uninfected control cells using microarray technology. An array analysis was performed with two independent donor pools, each comprising five individuals. The total number of differentially expressed genes (induction or suppression) found in both donor pools is indicated.
TABLE 1. Shared pattern of differentially expressed macrophage genes in response to *M. avium* strains TMC724, 2151SmT, 2151SmO, and SE01

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Gene name (UniGene)</th>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>TMC724</th>
<th>2151SmT</th>
<th>2151SmO</th>
<th>SE01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cytokines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytokines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytokines</td>
<td>Interleukin 1, beta</td>
<td>IL-1b</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>15.51</td>
<td>19.87</td>
<td>16.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytokines</td>
<td>Interleukin 6</td>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>68.83</td>
<td>264.55</td>
<td>489.99</td>
<td>306.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytokines</td>
<td>Tumor necrosis factor</td>
<td>TNF</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>10.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytokines</td>
<td>TNF superfamily, member 2</td>
<td>LTA</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td>10.07</td>
<td>13.32</td>
<td>17.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytokines</td>
<td>Lymphotoxin alpha TNF superfamily member 1</td>
<td>LTA</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>10.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemokines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemokines</td>
<td>Interleukin 8</td>
<td>IL-8</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemokines</td>
<td>Small inducible cytokine A5</td>
<td>RANTES</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>19.02</td>
<td>21.86</td>
<td>20.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemokines</td>
<td>GRO1 oncogene</td>
<td>MGSA</td>
<td>36.55</td>
<td>63.10</td>
<td>81.27</td>
<td>75.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemokines</td>
<td>GRO2 oncogene</td>
<td>MIP-2A</td>
<td>11.32</td>
<td>15.57</td>
<td>19.93</td>
<td>18.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemokines</td>
<td>GRO3 oncogene</td>
<td>MIP-2B</td>
<td>11.61</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>17.36</td>
<td>21.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemokines</td>
<td>Small inducible cytokine A2</td>
<td>MCP-1</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>3.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemokines</td>
<td>Monocyte chemotactic protein 1</td>
<td>MIP-1A</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>4.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemokines</td>
<td>Small inducible cytokine A3</td>
<td>MIP-1B</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>12.31</td>
<td>11.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemokines</td>
<td>Small inducible cytokine A4</td>
<td>MIP-3A</td>
<td>24.43</td>
<td>70.86</td>
<td>114.24</td>
<td>132.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptors</td>
<td>Adenosine A2a receptor</td>
<td>ADORA2A</td>
<td>12.37</td>
<td>9.44</td>
<td>12.80</td>
<td>13.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptors</td>
<td>Interleukin 7 receptor</td>
<td>IL-7R</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>5.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptors</td>
<td>Tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 4</td>
<td>TNFRSF4</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>5.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptors</td>
<td>Chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 2</td>
<td>CCR2</td>
<td>−5.01</td>
<td>−6.84</td>
<td>−6.56</td>
<td>−16.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptors</td>
<td>Chemokine (C-X-C motif), receptor 4 (fusin)</td>
<td>CXC4R</td>
<td>−3.94</td>
<td>−4.35</td>
<td>−4.79</td>
<td>−11.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal transduction</td>
<td>Naf-associated factor 1</td>
<td>NAF1</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal transduction</td>
<td>TNF receptor-associated factor 1</td>
<td>TRAF1</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>8.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal transduction</td>
<td>Jagged 1 (Alagille syndrome)</td>
<td>JAG1</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>6.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal transduction</td>
<td>Phosphodiesterase 4B, cAMP specific</td>
<td>PDE4B</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>3.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal transduction</td>
<td>Thyroid hormone receptor interactor 10</td>
<td>TRIP10</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>7.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal transduction</td>
<td>Seven in absentia (Drosophila) homolog 2</td>
<td>SIAH2</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>3.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoskeleton</td>
<td>Singed (Drosophila)-like (sea urchin fascin homolog-like)</td>
<td>SNL</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>8.06</td>
<td>11.90</td>
<td>12.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcription</td>
<td>Nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide</td>
<td>LYT-10</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcription</td>
<td>Gene enhancer in B-cells 2 (p49/p100)</td>
<td>LOC125387</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>5.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcription</td>
<td>Butyrate response factor 2 (EGF-resp. factor 2)</td>
<td>BRF2</td>
<td>−2.41</td>
<td>−4.23</td>
<td>−6.44</td>
<td>−8.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzymes</td>
<td>Adenosine deaminase</td>
<td>ADA</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>6.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzymes</td>
<td>Prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2</td>
<td>PTGS2</td>
<td>27.29</td>
<td>27.68</td>
<td>54.59</td>
<td>153.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzymes</td>
<td>GTP cyclohydrolase 1 (dopa-responsive dystonia)</td>
<td>GCH1</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>10.21</td>
<td>9.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzymes</td>
<td>Carbohydrate (chondroitin 6/keratan) sulfotransferase 2</td>
<td>C6ST</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>3.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiapoptosis</td>
<td>Tumor necrosis factor, alpha-induced protein 3</td>
<td>TNFAIP3</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>4.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiapoptosis</td>
<td>Immediate early response 3</td>
<td>IER3</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>4.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhesion</td>
<td>Intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (CD54), human rhinovirus receptor</td>
<td>ICAM1</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhesion</td>
<td>Tumor necrosis factor, alpha-induced protein 6</td>
<td>TNFAIP6</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>16.53</td>
<td>17.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferation/cell cycle</td>
<td>Tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 9</td>
<td>TNFRSF9</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferation/cell cycle</td>
<td>Tumor necrosis factor (ligand) superfamily, member 9</td>
<td>TNFSF9</td>
<td>13.92</td>
<td>13.84</td>
<td>27.99</td>
<td>97.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferation/cell cycle</td>
<td>Putative lymphocyte G0/G1 switch gene</td>
<td>GOS2</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>3.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferation/cell cycle</td>
<td>Pim-2 oncogene</td>
<td>PIM2</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>4.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clotting</td>
<td>Glycoprotein 1b (platelet), alpha polypeptide</td>
<td>GP1B</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>Tumor necrosis factor alpha-induced protein 2</td>
<td>TNFAIP2</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>6.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>Tumor suppressing subtransferable candidate 3</td>
<td>TSSC3</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>4.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>Myristoylated alanine-rich protein kinase C substrate (MARCKS, 80K-L)</td>
<td>MACS</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>3.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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phages. All events examined thus far (MAP kinase phosphorylation, TNF-α secretion, quantity of genes regulated) pointed to a macrophage-activating capacity that was intrinsic to each M. avium strain but partially disparate from its virulence properties. We therefore sought to determine whether there are any transcriptional signatures that allow categorization of isolates according to their intracellular replicating characteristics.

The two morphotypes of M. avium strain 2151 have a common genotype, yet they differ profoundly in intracellular growth. Therefore, they provided an excellent starting point to study the macrophage responses to virulent and nonvirulent M. avium isolates in a comparison of the host cell gene expression profiles induced by them. In addition to the general macrophage response signature for infection with M. avium as described above, direct comparison indeed identified genes that were individually regulated following infection with these two morphotypes (Table 2). The individual responses to 2151SmT and 2151SmO covered 9% (10 genes; 5 genes induced and 5 genes repressed) and 39% (68 genes; 31 genes induced and 37 genes repressed), respectively, of all genes differentially expressed after infection with the individual morphotypes (Table 2).

In contrast to the overall amount of differentially expressed genes that are induced by all four M. avium strains, the individual response patterns show equal numbers of induced and suppressed genes for 2151SmT and 2151SmO. While the majority of genes were regulated only between two- and fourfold (close to the cutoff for twofold regulation), three genes were found to be regulated more than 20-fold, the genes encoding IL-12p40 (47-fold induction) and LY64 (23-fold repression) in response to 2151SmO and the gene encoding myosin X (20-fold induction) after infection with 2151SmT (Table 2).

IL-12 is well known to be a critical factor in controlling mycobacterial infections (reviewed in references 13, 19, and 53). In contrast, there have been no reports on the role of either LY64 or myosin X for modulating mycobacterial growth. To independently confirm the differential expression of the genes encoding these three molecules, human macrophages of five individuals were infected with M. avium 2151SmT or 2151SmO for 4 h, and total RNA was isolated and used for quantitative RT-PCR.

2151SmO significantly induced expression of IL-12p40 mRNA in macrophages of all five donors compared to unstimulated control cultures (Fig. 5A). The closely related SmT morphotype of strain 2151 also induced IL-12p40 mRNA expression in four of five donors (Fig. 5A), albeit to a sig-

![FIG. 4. Expression of TNF-α, RANTES, and PTX3 mRNA in human monocyte-derived macrophages after infection with M. avium. Human MDM were incubated with M. avium isolates TMC724, 2151SmT, 2151SmO, and SE01 (MOI, 10) for 4 h. Total RNA was isolated, reverse transcribed, and used for quantitative RT-PCR of TNF-α (A), RANTES (B), and PTX3 (C) mRNA normalized to beta-2-microglobulin mRNA levels. The values indicate the fold induction compared to untreated cells for duplicate determinations for five different donors. The boxes indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles. The whiskers above and below the boxes indicate the highest and lowest values. The medians are marked and indicated above the boxes. ctrl., control.](image)
TABLE 2. Individual patterns of differentially expressed macrophage genes in response to two morphotypes of *M. avium* strain 2151

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphotype</th>
<th>Gene name (UniGene)</th>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Fold regulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2151SmT</td>
<td>Myosin X</td>
<td>MYO10</td>
<td>20.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RAS guanyl releasing protein 1 calcium and DAG regulated</td>
<td>RASGRP1</td>
<td>4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neutrophil cytosolic factor 1</td>
<td>NCF1</td>
<td>2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DEAD/H Asp-Glu-Ala-Ala/Asp/His box polypeptide Y chromosome</td>
<td>DBY</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypothetical protein</td>
<td>HSPC111</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BAI1-associated protein 2</td>
<td>BAIAP2</td>
<td>-2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ecotropic viral integration site 2A</td>
<td>EV12A</td>
<td>-2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Histidyl-tRNA synthetase-like</td>
<td>HARS2L</td>
<td>-2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dual-specificity tyrosine-Y phosphorylation-regulated kinase 2</td>
<td>DYRK2</td>
<td>-4.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>i-Beta-1,3-N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase</td>
<td>BETA3GNTI</td>
<td>-5.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2151SmO</td>
<td>Interleukin 12B/p40</td>
<td>IL12B</td>
<td>47.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CGI-142</td>
<td>CGI-142</td>
<td>13.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mevalonate diphosphodecarboxylase</td>
<td>MV2D</td>
<td>8.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basic leucine zipper transcription factor ATF-like</td>
<td>BATF</td>
<td>5.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RAB33A member RAS oncogene family</td>
<td>RAB33A</td>
<td>5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dual-specificity phosphatase 2</td>
<td>DUSP2</td>
<td>4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Syntaxin 11</td>
<td>STX11</td>
<td>3.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phospho; acid phosphatase type 2B</td>
<td>PPAP2B</td>
<td>3.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ubiquitin-specific protease 12</td>
<td>USP12</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 7</td>
<td>CCR7</td>
<td>3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regulator of G-protein signaling 16</td>
<td>RGS16</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>v-rel avian reticuloendotheliosis viral oncogene homolog</td>
<td>REL</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Serine or cysteine proteinase inhibitor clade B ovalbumin member 9</td>
<td>SERP1N9</td>
<td>2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CASP6 and FADD-like apoptosis regulator</td>
<td>CFLAR</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Musculin-activated B-cell factor-1</td>
<td>MSCR</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Translation factor suil homolog</td>
<td>GC20</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interferon regulatory factor 1</td>
<td>IRF1</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chromosome X open reading frame 6</td>
<td>CXORF6</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KIAA0286 protein</td>
<td>KIAA0286</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stannin</td>
<td>SN2N</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uridine phosphorylase</td>
<td>UP</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nuclear receptor subfamily 4 group A member 3</td>
<td>NR4A3</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Serine or cysteine proteinase inhibitor clade B ovalbumin member 8</td>
<td>SERP1N8</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tight junction protein 2 zona occludens 2</td>
<td>TJP2</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LPS-induced TNF-alpha factor</td>
<td>PIG7</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KIAA0084 protein</td>
<td>KIAA0084</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ninjurin 1</td>
<td>NINJ1</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poliovirus receptor</td>
<td>PRV</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SRY sex-determining region Y box 4</td>
<td>SOX4</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>v-ets avian erythroblastosis virus E26 oncogene homolog 2</td>
<td>ETS2</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nucleolar phosphoprotein p130</td>
<td>PI30</td>
<td>2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chromosome 21 open reading frame 25</td>
<td>C21orf25</td>
<td>2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypothetical protein FLJ13052</td>
<td>FLJ13052</td>
<td>-2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p8 protein candidate of metastasis 1</td>
<td>P8</td>
<td>-2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sialyltransferase 1-beta-galactoside alpha-2,6-sialyltransferase</td>
<td>SIAT1</td>
<td>-2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Murine leukemia viral bmi-1 oncogene homolog</td>
<td>BMIII</td>
<td>-2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zinc finger protein 148 phZ-52</td>
<td>ZNF148</td>
<td>-2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sorting nexin 2</td>
<td>SNX2</td>
<td>-2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LIM domain only 2 rhombin-like 1</td>
<td>LMO2</td>
<td>-2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adipose differentiation-related protein</td>
<td>ADIPF</td>
<td>-2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coronin actin-binding protein 1A</td>
<td>CORO1A</td>
<td>-2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interleukin 17 receptor</td>
<td>IL17R</td>
<td>-2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KIAA1224 protein</td>
<td>KIAA1224</td>
<td>-2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATP-binding cassette subfamily C CFTR-MRP member 5</td>
<td>ABCC5</td>
<td>-2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conserved gene amplified in osteosarcoma</td>
<td>OS4</td>
<td>-2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Three prime repair exonuclease 1</td>
<td>DKFZp434J0310</td>
<td>-2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LCAT-like lysophospholipase</td>
<td>LLPL</td>
<td>-2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KIAA1093 protein</td>
<td>KIAA1093</td>
<td>-2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proline-serine-threonine phosphatase interacting protein 1</td>
<td>PSTIP1</td>
<td>-2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FYN-binding protein FYB-120/130 transcription factor 3 E2A immunoglobulin enhancer binding factors</td>
<td>FYB</td>
<td>-2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E12/E47</td>
<td>TCF3</td>
<td>-2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regulator of G-protein signaling 2.24kD</td>
<td>RGS2</td>
<td>-2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protein predicted by clone 23627</td>
<td>HSU79266</td>
<td>-2.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued on following page
significantly lower extent. Stimulation of human macrophages with 2151SmO as well as 2151SmT significantly reduced LY64 mRNA expression in all individuals (Fig. 5B), and the inhibition was more pronounced in response to SmO than in response to SmT (Fig. 5B). Expression of myosin X mRNA was significantly enhanced in response to 2151SmT and, in contrast to the microarray results, also after stimulation with 2151SmO (Fig. 5C). Furthermore, 2151SmO consistently induced myosin X mRNA expression to a significantly higher extent than 2151SmT.

To address this discrepancy between the results from microarray analysis and RT-PCR, reanalysis of the raw, array-based gene expression data was performed. Expression of myosin X mRNA was induced 33- and 2.8-fold in response to 2151SmT in the two replicate microarray experiments. In response to 2151SmO, the induction was 12- and 3.6-fold, but the difference did not reach statistical significance. Thus, the raw gene expression values were actually well in accordance with the RT-PCR data. This is even more remarkable since the array-based myosin X mRNA expression values were generally very low (signal, <50). The fact that changes in gene expression even at the very low signal end were confirmed by an independent method again underscores the robustness of our microarray-based gene expression analysis.

Finally, we scrutinized the macrophage gene expression profiles induced by TMC724 and SE01, two genetically completely unrelated M. avium strains with highly discrepant intracellular growth properties. The private macrophage expression signature evoked by TMC724 (compared to all other isolates) contained only two genes, the proto-oncogene c-Myc (twofold induction) and the vaccinia-related kinase 2 gene (twofold repression), once more reflecting the overall weak potential of this M. avium strain to activate macrophages. In contrast, the individual signature of differentially expressed macrophage genes in response to SE01 consisted of 87 genes (38 genes induced, 49 genes suppressed) (Table 3), the highest number of privately regulated genes in this series.

The SE01-specific induced macrophage transcriptome was

### TABLE 2—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphotype</th>
<th>Gene name (UniGene)</th>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Fold regulationa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate 3-kinase B</td>
<td>ITPKB</td>
<td>--2.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamate-cysteine ligase catalytic subunit</td>
<td>GCLC</td>
<td>--2.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabled Drosophila homolog 2 mitogen-responsive phosphoprotein</td>
<td>DAB2</td>
<td>--2.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transforming growth factor beta receptor II 70-80kD</td>
<td>TGFB2</td>
<td>--2.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mad4 homolog myeloid/lymphoid or mixed-lineage leukemia</td>
<td>MAD4</td>
<td>--2.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homolog translocated to 2</td>
<td>MLLT2</td>
<td>--2.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecotropic viral integration site 2B</td>
<td>EVI2B</td>
<td>--3.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrine regulator</td>
<td>HRHFB2436</td>
<td>--3.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peroxisome proliferative activated receptor gamma</td>
<td>PPARG</td>
<td>--3.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferon gamma-inducible protein 16</td>
<td>IFI16</td>
<td>--3.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein tyrosine phosphatase nonreceptor type 22 lymphoid</td>
<td>PTPN22</td>
<td>--3.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B cell linker protein</td>
<td>SLP65</td>
<td>--4.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIAA0500 protein</td>
<td>KIAA0500</td>
<td>--4.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIAA0846 protein</td>
<td>KIAA0846</td>
<td>--6.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocyte antigen 64 mouse homolog radioprotective 105kD</td>
<td>LY64/CD180</td>
<td>--23.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Positive values indicate fold induction, and negative values indicate fold suppression.

---

**FIG. 5.** Expression of IL-12p40, LY64, and myosin X mRNA in human monocyte-derived macrophages after infection with *M. avium*. Human MDM were incubated with *M. avium* isolates 2151SmT and 2151SmO (MOI, 10) for 4 h. Total RNA was isolated, reverse transcribed, and used for quantitative RT-PCR of IL-12p40 (A), LY64 (B), and myosin X (C) mRNA normalized to beta-2-microglobulin mRNA levels. The values are the means for duplicate determinations for five different donors. The boxes indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles. The whiskers above and below the boxes indicate the highest and lowest values. The medians are marked. Significant differences are indicated; an asterisk indicates that the *P* value is <0.05. n.s., not significant; ctrl, control; b2m, beta-2-microglobulin.
remarkable in that a number of genes involved in the downregulation of a proinflammatory immune response were highly upregulated. Thus, profound upregulation (15-fold) of suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 (SOCS-1/SSI-1), a negative regulator of gamma interferon (IFN-\(\gamma\)) signaling (38), was accompanied by repression of IFN-\(\gamma\) receptor 1 (twofold). In addition, the antiinflammatory cytokine IL-10 was significantly induced (sixfold) only by SE01 (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

This study shows that the extent of early macrophage signaling events induced by individual strains of *M. avium* is directly correlated with the quantity of genes and the overall magnitude of gene regulation elicited by each individual strain. While this type of classification of *M. avium* strains proved to be robust, in that three different methods of evaluation (MAP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene name (UniGene)</th>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Fold regulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JAK binding protein</td>
<td>SSI-1</td>
<td>15.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasminogen activator, tissue</td>
<td>PLAT</td>
<td>10.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hairy (<em>Drosophila</em>) homolog</td>
<td>HRY</td>
<td>8.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet-derived growth factor beta polypeptide</td>
<td>PDGFB</td>
<td>7.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solute carrier family 1, glial high-affinity glutamate transport, membrane 2</td>
<td>SLC1A2</td>
<td>7.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCL2-like 1</td>
<td>BCL2L1</td>
<td>7.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signaling lymphocytic activation molecule</td>
<td>SLAM</td>
<td>6.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colony-stimulating factor 3 (granulocyte)</td>
<td>CSF3</td>
<td>6.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colony-stimulating factor 2 (granulocyte-macrophage)</td>
<td>CSF2</td>
<td>6.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 10</td>
<td>IL10</td>
<td>5.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual-specificity phosphatase 8</td>
<td>DUSP8</td>
<td>5.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldehyde dehydrogenase 5</td>
<td>ALDH5</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual-specificity tyrosine-phosphorylation-regulated kinase 3</td>
<td>DYRK3</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vr-sar sarcoma 1 (Schmidt-Ruppin A-2)</td>
<td>SRC</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thymopoietin</td>
<td>TMPO</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD83 antigen</td>
<td>CD83</td>
<td>3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth arrest and DNA damage-inducible, alpha</td>
<td>GADD45A</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulation factor III (thromboplastin, tissue factor)</td>
<td>F3</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EST, moderately similar to POL2 human retrovirus-related POL polypeptide</td>
<td>DVL3</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dishevelled 3 (homologous to <em>Drosophila</em> dsh)</td>
<td>NCK2</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCK adapter protein 2</td>
<td>NCK</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytokine-inducible kinase</td>
<td>CNK</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetyl LDL receptor; SREC</td>
<td>SREC</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitogen-activated protein kinase-activated protein kinase 2</td>
<td>MAPKAPK2</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostaglandin D2 (prostaenolic) receptor (IP)</td>
<td>PTGIR</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual-specificity phosphatase 1</td>
<td>DUSP1</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycophorin C (Gerbich blood group)</td>
<td>GYPC</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatine kinase, brain</td>
<td>CKB</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutaredoxin (thioltransferase)</td>
<td>GLRX</td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-box binding protein 1</td>
<td>XBPI</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostaglandin E receptor 4 (subtype EP4)</td>
<td>PTGER4</td>
<td>2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal transducer and activator of transcription 5A</td>
<td>STAT5A</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleiomorphic adenoma gene-like 2</td>
<td>PLAGL2</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP), delta</td>
<td>CEBPD</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanylate binding protein 2, interferon inducible</td>
<td>GBP2</td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTG family, member 3</td>
<td>BTG3</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ras homolog gene family, member G (rho G)</td>
<td>ARHG</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIAA0759 protein</td>
<td>KIAA0759</td>
<td>2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cAMP-responsive element modulator</td>
<td>CREM</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diacylglycerol kinase, zeta (104kD)</td>
<td>DGKZ</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myeloid cell nuclear differentiation antigen</td>
<td>MND</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homo sapiens CDNA: FLJ21449 1s, clone COL04483; highly similar to AF010235 H. sapiens mRNA from chromosome 5q31-33 region</td>
<td>PHAP1</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putative human HLA class II associated protein 1</td>
<td>IFNGR1</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferon gamma receptor 1</td>
<td>IFNGR1</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Src-like-adaptor</td>
<td>SLA</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAS p21 protein activator (GTPase activating protein) 1</td>
<td>RASA1</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homo sapiens mRNA; cDNA (from clone DKFZp564N1272); complete cds</td>
<td>DUSP56601646 protein</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferating cell nuclear antigen</td>
<td>PCNA</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIAA0229 protein</td>
<td>KIAA0229</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleoporin 214kD (CAIN)</td>
<td>NUP214</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human clone 23801 mRNA sequence</td>
<td>MKP-L</td>
<td>2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MKP-1 like protein tyrosine phosphatase</td>
<td>MKP-L</td>
<td>2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specle-type POZ protein</td>
<td>SPOP</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Positive values indicate fold induction, and negative values indicate fold suppression.
kinase phosphorylation, TNF-α secretion, and size of infection-induced transcriptome) showed consistent results with four different isolates, we found no straightforward association of a specific macrophage response signature with the pathogenicities of the infecting strains, as measured by their intracellular replication rates in vitro. Therefore, the virulence of the species M. avium is not mirrored by a stereotypic, species-specific macrophage response, as previously suggested (28). Nor is virulence of M. avium invariably a unique failure to activate macrophages, as hypothesized previously (24, 49). Rather, our data imply that virulence must be explained at the level of the individual isolate of M. avium; while some isolates may modulate host defenses by manipulating specific host defense genes (such as the gene encoding IL-12p40, LY64, SOCS-1, or IL-10, as identified in this study), other isolates may simply rely on their intrinsic resistance to antimycobacterial effector mechanisms.

Our data corroborate previous findings from microarray analyses performed with macrophages infected with mycobacteria or stimulated with mycobacterial components (10, 14, 42). In particular, they support the concept that following interaction with mycobacteria, a prewired infection-related gene expression program is induced in macrophages, consisting of signaling components, transcriptional machinery, and mediators and receptors necessary to enhance myelomonocytic cell recruitment and activation. This program differs from that elicited by protozoan or helminth parasites in that, for example, many more interferon-inducible genes are triggered (14).

A previous study detailing the expression signature of macrophages in response to M. avium described the upregulation of approximately 150 genes involved in signal transduction, transcription, adhesion, apoptosis, protein turnover, homeostasis, detoxification, and general metabolism or coding for receptor-associated proteins, cytokines, and chemokines (28). While many of the genes described in that report are also listed in our M. avium-induced common signature (28) (Table 1), there are individual differences in both the number and the type of genes that were found to be regulated. These differences are most likely due to donor variability and different times of analysis, but they probably also reflect the use of yet another M. avium strain in that study (only described as a smooth transparent isolate). The fact that IL-12p40 and IL-10 were not appreciably induced but that a number of ras-associated signaling molecules were expressly regulated by that strain put it in a category not dissimilar to that shown here for 2151SmT (28).

The results of this study and a recent study (9) provide evidence that within minutes following exposure, the macrophage responses to M. avium strains already differ at the level of signal transduction, transcription, adhesion, apoptosis, protein turnover, homeostasis, detoxification, and general metabolism or coding for receptor-associated proteins, cytokines, and chemokines (28). While many of the genes described in that report are also listed in our M. avium-induced common signature (28) (Table 1), there are individual differences in both the number and the type of genes that were found to be regulated. These differences are most likely due to donor variability and different times of analysis, but they probably also reflect the use of yet another M. avium strain in that study (only described as a smooth transparent isolate). The fact that IL-12p40 and IL-10 were not appreciably induced but that a number of ras-associated signaling molecules were expressly regulated by that strain put it in a category not dissimilar to that shown here for 2151SmT (28).

The individual response to M. avium 2151SmO indicated profound downregulation of LY64 (CD180, RP105), a member of the Toll-like receptor (TLR) family, which mediates the LPS response in B lymphocytes (reviewed in reference 35). LY64 has previously been found to be differentially expressed in tumor-associated macrophages (26) but has not been linked to mycobacterial infections thus far. Interestingly, LY64 has functional similarities with TLR4. While there is a report that TLR4-defective mice are as susceptible to infection with M. avium as with wild-type controls, the role of TLR4 in the control of mycobacterial infections is controversial (1, 23, 47, 50). Elucidating the role of LY64 in M. avium pathogenesis might shed new light on the contribution of different members of the TLR family in the innate response against mycobacterial infection.

Collectively, our data show that for some strains, such as 2151SmT and 2151SmO, the overall magnitude of host cell activation, as determined by MAP kinase phosphorylation, TNF-α production, and the size of the induced transcriptome, is inversely correlated with the capacity to persist and grow inside macrophages. While there is no specific “virulence-associated” signature of the virulent 2151SmT strain, the strong regulation of some genes, such as the IL-12p40, myosin X, and LY64 genes, by 2151SmO may be a good corollary of this strain’s lack of virulence. For TMC724, there is also no “persistence-associated,” strain-specific macrophage gene expression signature. In fact, TMC724 induces only very little gene regulation, and therefore activation, in human host cells. This may reflect its avian origin, which endowed it with a height-
ened imperviousness to constitutively expressed effector molecules in human macrophages.

The macrophage transcriptome induced by M. avium SE01 is particularly enlightening, as it shows that the analysis of a strain-specific signature may help us understand this isolate’s behavior inside the macrophage. Obviously, despite very strong activation of the innate immune response, this strain is able to persist in macrophages. Here, SE01 induces upregulation of SOCS-1/SSI-1, a negative feedback regulator of signaling events via janus kinases induced by cytokines (38). SOCS-1-mediated negative regulation of IFN-γ-induced signals can be hypothesized as one mechanism by which SE01 promotes its survival inside macrophages. Moreover, infection with SE01 induces the transcriptional downregulation of IFN-γ receptor 1, again indicating effective counteraction of IFN-γ-induced eradication (16, 18, 32). In addition, SE01 was the only strain found to induce very high IL-10 gene transcription, which may interfere with antimycobacterial effector mechanisms (45, 55). If the results are taken together, SE01 seems to be capable of tipping the scale of macrophage activation toward a net “anti-inflammatory” score which favors persistence.

In conclusion, microarray analyses of gene expression patterns of human macrophages in response to M. avium strains with different levels of virulence yielded a number of genes associated with a common antimicrobial macrophage response, consistent with previous reports. Overall, quantitative rather than qualitative differences of gene regulation were associated with a common antimicrobial macrophage response in which the net balance of pro- and anti-inflammatory activities appears to be skewed towards suppressing antimycobacterial protection. Third, isolates such as TMC724 may be largely impervious to antimycobacterial effector molecules in human macrophages irrespective of the host response that they elicit.
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