

Tumour-targeting bacteria-based cancer therapies for increased specificity and improved outcome

Sebastian Felgner,^{1,*} Vinay Pawar,^{2,3}
Dino Kocijancic,² Marc Erhardt¹ and Siegfried Weiss³

¹*Infection Biology of Salmonella, Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research, Braunschweig, Germany.*

²*Molecular Bacteriology, Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research, Braunschweig, Germany.*

³*Institute of Immunology, Medical School Hannover, Hannover, Germany.*

'You have cancer' – a devastating diagnosis that still strikes patients hard. Despite substantial improvements of standard therapies over the years, there is still no general cure available. Thus, cancer represents an enormous burden on modern societies – socially as well as economically. According to recent statistics from the National Cancer Institute, every second human being will receive the diagnosis of cancer throughout his lifetime and every fourth will succumb to the disease (Howlander *et al.*, 2014). For this year, the American Cancer Society predicts that approximately 600 000 Americans are expected to die of cancer – that is more than 1650 people a day. This only gives a glimpse of the suffering and emotional strain of the patient and his social environment. Besides, estimates of the direct medical costs for cancer in the United States were \$87.8 billion in 2014. Even more striking is the prognosis. By 2030, 50% more cancer cases will be diagnosed and mortality due to this disease will increase by 60%. One major reason for this increasing threat is the demographic change of the society. As cancer is essentially a disease of old age, with improved life expectancy, the chance to develop cancer is increasing accordingly. For such reasons, the introduction of novel efficacious therapies is a task of utmost importance for modern biomedical research. These efforts are well in agreement with the sustainable developments demanded by the WHO especially with the

challenge: ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages.

Here, we review the revival of an old concept – the use of bacteria as cancer therapeutics. Bacteria-mediated tumour therapy has great potential to evolve into a powerful tool against malignant solid tumours.

To date, early diagnosis and classical treatment options like surgery, radio- and chemotherapy represent the backbone of cancer therapy. Although such therapies have been continuously improved, they bear many disadvantages and risks (Crawford, 2013). For instance, not every tumour can be surgically removed and the unspecific activity of radio- and chemotherapies causes serious damage to healthy tissue. This renders such therapies suboptimal. The major disadvantage of the classical tumour therapies, however, remains the absence of a complete and sustainable cure, i.e. prevention of tumour relapse or inability to clear metastases and micrometastases. Therefore, in the past decades, research focus has shifted to more specific therapies that aim to fight cancer by, e.g., targeting molecular characteristics of the tumour or using the specificity of the immune system by employing antibodies or specific T cells (Weiner *et al.*, 2010; Perica *et al.*, 2015). Another example of an immunotherapeutic concept that is at the verge of general acceptance is the use of genetically modified bacteria against solid tumours. Such microorganisms exhibit natural tumour-targeting as well as adjuvant abilities (Forbes, 2010).

Bacteria-mediated tumour therapy (BMTT) is not a new concept. The roots of this therapy reach beyond introduction of radiotherapy for cancer treatment. Already at the end of the 19th century, the US physician W. Coley explored the potential of bacteria for treatment of patients suffering from inoperable skin tumours (Hopton Cann *et al.*, 2003). Coley was quite successful. However, it was difficult to control the bacterial infections at that time as antibiotics had not yet been discovered. In addition, many experts questioned Coley's approach as he was not able to explain the mode of action of this therapy. The idea to use bacterial pathogens to treat tumours was considered foolish and still is by many oncologists (McCarthy, 2006). In particular in the light of the fact that some bacteria such as *Helicobacter*, *Salmonella* Typhi or *Fusobacteria* can induce or support cancer (Ray, 2011; Kostic *et al.*, 2013; Nagaraja and Eslick, 2014; Wang *et al.*, 2014), the approach of BMTT

Received 29 June, 2017; accepted 1 July, 2017.

*For correspondence. E-mail sebastian.felgner@helmholtz-hzi.de; Tel. +49 531 6181 4801; Fax + 49 531 6181 5002.

Microbial Biotechnology (2017) 0(0), 000–000
doi:10.1111/1751-7915.12787

Funding Information

Exploration Grant Boehringer Ingelheim Foundation; INNO INDIGO Partnership Program (INDIGO-IPP2-060).

was considered irrational. For a long time, it appeared to be impossible to find a balance between therapeutic benefit, safety and risks.

However, these concerns about BMTT did not discourage other members of the scientific community to renew research on this targeted therapy and first successes became apparent recently. These were primarily due to new tools of genetic engineering, as well as the improved understanding of host–pathogen interactions and tumour biology and resulted in different approaches to treat tumours using bacteria. An outstanding example is BCG (*Bacillus Calmette-Guerin*), a highly selected strain of *Mycobacterium bovis* (Redelman-Sidi *et al.*, 2014). It was found to prevent relapses of superficial bladder cancer to a high degree and has been deployed in the clinics already since the late 1980s. Further promising candidates for BMTT include Gram-positive bacteria like *Listeria monocytogenes* or *Clostridium novyi*-NT and Gram-negative bacteria like *Salmonella* Typhimurium and *Escherichia coli* (Paterson *et al.*, 2010; Kubiak and Minton, 2015; Felgner *et al.*, 2016a; Kocijanic *et al.*, 2016). Although the concepts to apply the various bacteria for cancer therapy differ significantly, they have all been able to demonstrate antitumour properties in preclinical models and some even in clinical trials.

For instance, *Listeria* strains like ANZ-100 or CRS-207 are predominantly used as cancer vaccines that stimulate a T-cell-dependent anti-tumour response. Recent clinical trials with CRS-207 expressing mesothelin showed promising results in patients with pancreatic cancer (Maciag *et al.*, 2009; Le *et al.*, 2012).

Similarly, the use of *Clostridia* spores in BMTT was recently investigated in the clinic. It had been demonstrated that such spores can colonize human and canine tumours to induce anti-tumour effects (Krick *et al.*, 2012; Roberts *et al.*, 2014). They were even able to breach the blood–brain barrier in a rat glioma multiforma model (Staedtke *et al.*, 2015). Although the exact mechanisms of tumour colonization and anti-tumour effects of *Clostridia* spores are poorly understood, they are taken as safe vectors as spores of these obligate anaerobic bacteria can only germinate in necrotic cores of tumours that lack oxygen (Barbé *et al.*, 2006). However, this confinement to anoxia also represents a limitation because small tumours often lack necrotic regions. Thus, neither small metastases nor viable aerobic regions of the primary tumour can be targeted with such spores.

To overcome this limitation, researchers focus on facultative anaerobic bacteria for BMTT. Accordingly, *Salmonella* Typhimurium can target both aerobic and anaerobic tumour regions (Ruby *et al.*, 2012). How *Salmonella* is able to invade solid tumours is still under debate, but a reasonable explanation for the mechanism is already specified: a cytokine storm is elicited upon

intravenous or intraperitoneal application of the bacteria that is usually dominated by TNF- α . This cytokine opens the pathological blood vessels of the tumour and results in a haemorrhage and consequently in the formation of a large necrotic area. Due to the high blood influx, the bacteria are flushed into the tumour. They then thrive in the necrotic hypoxic/anoxic environment where they are protected from the immune system (Westphal *et al.*, 2008; Leschner *et al.*, 2009). However, it remains still unclear whether tumour colonization is essential for therapeutic efficacy or whether the therapeutic power of the bacteria is due to an adjuvant effect exerted by the bacteria exclusively in immune inductive sites.

While the ability of *Salmonella* to grow in the presence of oxygen might be beneficial for tumour therapy, it enables *Salmonella* to also target healthy tissues like spleen and liver. Thus, in principle, *Salmonella* is not as tumour specific as *Clostridia*. Therefore, *Salmonella* needs to be attenuated in a way that it can only survive and inflict damage inside the immune-privileged site of the tumour. Unfortunately, attenuating *Salmonella* for cancer therapy is not a straightforward process. Many studies have shown that inactivating essential genes easily results in overattenuated therapeutic strains (Schmitt *et al.*, 1994; Frahm *et al.*, 2015). Although safe in various animal models, the antitumour properties might not be preserved. Thus, the major challenge of using *Salmonella* in BMTT is to find an appropriate balance of safety and therapeutic efficacy.

In the past decades, several strategies have been followed to find such a balance. First approaches aimed to passage *Salmonella* through cancerous tissue either *in vitro* or *in vivo* to improve tumour-targeting by selection. This strategy resulted in the prominent *Salmonella* vector strains VNP20009 and A1-R (Low *et al.*, 2004; Zhao *et al.*, 2006; Zhang *et al.*, 2017). VNP20009 was evaluated in clinical trials. However, it turned out that VNP20009 was overattenuated due to the uncontrolled introduction of multiple deletions during the selection process (Toso *et al.*, 2002; Broadway *et al.*, 2015). This might explain the ineffectiveness of this strain in human patients. The *Salmonella* variant A1-R, on the other hand, appears to be highly potent. This strain is deficient for the amino acids arginine and leucine and has shown great promise in many different types of murine tumours and patient-derived orthotopic xenografts (Hoffman, 2016; Zhang *et al.*, 2017). It will be interesting to follow this particular strain and its performance in clinical trials with human cancer patients.

In addition to random selection, another promising strategy is to design *Salmonella* strains by targeted gene deletion, which avoids unexpected modifications. Prominent candidates by this approach include the magic spot mutant of *Salmonella* (Δ ppGpp) that was enhanced by

expressing a secreted flagellin (Yun *et al.*, 2012; Zheng *et al.*, 2017), and SF200 which comprises several mutations affecting LPS and flagella synthesis, as well as containing metabolic modifications (unpublished data). Both strains have been shown to be tumour specific and exhibit high efficacy in various murine tumour models. Strain SF200 is interesting in particular because it was constructed in a modular way. Thereby, the bacteria are not only attenuated but at the same time, the immune stimulatory capacities were increased by targeted modifications (Felgner *et al.*, 2016b; Kocijancic *et al.*, 2017). As consequence, SF200 is the first therapeutic strain able to overcome pre-existing anti-*Salmonella* immunity and retains its therapeutic efficacy under such conditions. It may counter one of the major obstacles for BMTT and could be a promising candidate for clinical trials.

In summary, many of these studies have shown that bacteria can target and retard the growth of several tumours, and even clear these neoplasia in some cases. However, many tumours still withstand the therapy after an initial phase of response. Thus, researchers shift to either (i) use the bacteria as a vector system that shuttles therapeutic substances, e.g. rIL-2, sh-RNAs, CPG2, cytosine deaminase, as well as toxins like α -haemolysin into tumours (Nemunaitis *et al.*, 2003; Friedlos *et al.*, 2008; Nguyen *et al.*, 2010; Blache *et al.*, 2012; St. Jean *et al.*, 2014); or (ii) adjuvant therapy, where the bacteria are applied together with checkpoint inhibitors like α -PD-1 and α -CTLA-4 or together with adoptively transferred anti-cancer T cells (Binder *et al.*, 2013, 2016; Hiroshima *et al.*, 2014, 2015). To avoid safety concerns, another approach is to replace the bacterial vector by nanoparticles that shuttle bacterial components into tumours (Felgner *et al.*, 2016c; Mercado-Lubo *et al.*, 2016). However, the specificity and side-effects of such therapies remain unclear and are still subject to investigations. Furthermore, bacteria can be also employed for cancer diagnostics as demonstrated recently (Yu *et al.*, 2004; Danino *et al.*, 2015). This possibility has been little explored thus far but the great potential became apparent.

Taken together, the unique intrinsic properties of bacteria to specifically colonize cancerous tissue and to elicit an antitumour response combined with their potential as a targeted delivery vector system provide a solid platform for cancer therapy with an extremely high potency. It represents a perfect example how the delivery and quality of therapeutics can be improved. From the first attempts to revive Coley's strategy (Pawelek *et al.*, 1997) until today, dramatic progress has been made in understanding the process but also in enhancing the bacteria by genetic modifications. This progress will continue. Thus, BMTT will grow into a versatile alternative to conventional therapies that is not restricted to a

particular type of tumour. In fact, microbial therapy may become one of the most specific therapies for cancer treatment besides its potential in cancer prevention and biotechnological diagnostic. Thus, BMTT has the potential to help to release mankind from the curse of cancer.

Acknowledgements

S.F. was supported by an Exploration Grant from the Boehringer Ingelheim Foundation. V. P. was funded by INNO INDIGO Partnership Program (INDIGO-IPP2-060).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- Barbé, S., Van Mellaert, L., and Anné, J. (2006) The use of clostridial spores for cancer treatment. *J Appl Microbiol* **101**: 571–578.
- Binder, D.C., Engels, B., Arina, A., Yu, P., Slauch, J.M., Fu, Y.-X., *et al.* (2013) Antigen-specific bacterial vaccine combined with anti-PD-L1 rescues dysfunctional endogenous T cells to reject long-established cancer. *Cancer Immunol Res* **1**: 123–133.
- Binder, D.C., Arina, A., Wen, F., Tu, T., Zhao, M., Hoffman, R.M., *et al.* (2016) Tumor relapse prevented by combining adoptive T cell therapy with *Salmonella* typhimurium. *Oncoimmunology* **5**: e1130207.
- Blache, C.A., Manuel, E.R., Kaltcheva, T.I., Wong, A.N., Ellenhorn, J.D.I., Blazar, B.R., and Diamond, D.J. (2012) Systemic delivery of *Salmonella* Typhimurium transformed with IDO shRNA enhances intratumoral vector colonization and suppresses tumor growth. *Cancer Res* **72**: 6647–6656.
- Broadway, K.M., Denson, E.A.P., Jensen, R.V., and Scharf, B.E. (2015) Rescuing chemotaxis of the anticancer agent *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium VNP20009. *J Biotechnol* **211**: 117–120.
- Crawford, S. (2013) Is it time for a new paradigm for systemic cancer treatment? Lessons from a century of cancer chemotherapy. *Front Pharmacol* **4**: 68.
- Danino, T., Prindle, A., Kwong, G.A., Skalak, M., Li, H., Allen, K., *et al.* (2015) Programmable probiotics for detection of cancer in urine. *Sci Transl Med* **7**: 289ra84.
- Felgner, S., Kocijancic, D., Frahm, M., Curtiss, R., Erhardt, M., and Weiss, S. (2016a) Optimizing *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium for bacteria-mediated tumor therapy. *Gut Microbes* **7**: 171–177.
- Felgner, S., Kocijancic, D., Frahm, M., and Weiss, S. (2016b) Bacteria in cancer therapy: renaissance of an old concept. *Int J Microbiol* **16**: 1–14.
- Felgner, S., Kocijancic, D., Pawar, V., and Weiss, S. (2016c) Biomimetic *Salmonella*: a next-generation therapeutic vector? *Trends Microbiol* **24**: 850–852.
- Forbes, N.S. (2010) Engineering the perfect (bacterial) cancer therapy. *Nat Rev Cancer* **10**: 785–794.

- Frahm, M., Felgner, S., Kocijancic, D., Rohde, M., Hensel, M., Curtiss, R., et al. (2015) Efficiency of conditionally Attenuated *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium in bacterium-mediated tumor therapy. *MBio* **6**: e00254-15.
- Friedlos, F., Lehouritis, P., Ogilvie, L., Hedley, D., Davies, L., Bermudes, D., et al. (2008) Attenuated *Salmonella* targets prodrug activating enzyme carboxypeptidase G2 to mouse melanoma and human breast and colon carcinomas for effective suicide gene therapy. *Clin Cancer Res* **14**: 4259–4266.
- Hiroshima, Y., Zhang, Y., Murakami, T., Maawy, A., Miwa, S., Yamamoto, M., et al. (2014) Efficacy of tumor-targeting *Salmonella* typhimurium A1-R in combination with anti-angiogenesis therapy on a pancreatic cancer patient-derived orthotopic xenograft (PDOX) and cell line mouse models. *Oncotarget* **5**: 12346–12357.
- Hiroshima, Y., Zhang, Y., Zhao, M., Zhang, N., Murakami, T., Maawy, A., et al. (2015) Tumor-targeting *Salmonella* typhimurium A1-R in combination with trastuzumab eradicates HER-2-positive cervical cancer cells in patient-derived mouse models. *PLoS ONE* **10**: e0120358.
- Hoffman, R.M. (2016) Tumor-targeting *Salmonella* typhimurium A1-R: an overview. *Methods Mol Biol* **1409**: 1–8.
- Hoption Cann, S.A., van Netten, J.P., and van Netten, C. (2003) Dr. William Coley and tumour regression: a place in history or in the future. *Postgrad Med J* **79**: 672–680.
- Howlader, N., Noone, A., Krapcho, M., Garshell, J., Miller, D., Altekruse, S., et al. (2014) *SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975–2012*. Bethesda, MD: Natl. Cancer Institute.
- Kocijancic, D., Felgner, S., Frahm, M., Komoll, R.-M., Iljazovic, A., Pawar, V., et al. (2016) Therapy of solid tumors using probiotic Symbioflor-2 – restraints and potential. *Oncotarget* **7**: 22605–22622.
- Kocijancic, D., Felgner, S., Schauer, T., Frahm, M., Heise, U., Zimmermann, K., et al. (2017) Local application of bacteria improves safety of *Salmonella*-mediated tumor therapy and retains advantages of systemic infection. *Oncotarget* [Epub ahead of print] doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.18392.
- Kostic, A.D., Chun, E., Robertson, L., Glickman, J.N., Gallini, C.A., Michaud, M., et al. (2013) *Fusobacterium nucleatum* potentiates intestinal tumorigenesis and modulates the tumor-immune microenvironment. *Cell Host Microbe* **14**: 207–215.
- Krick, E.L., Sorenmo, K.U., Rankin, S.C., Cheong, I., Kobrin, B., Thornton, K., et al. (2012) Evaluation of *Clostridium novyi*-NT spores in dogs with naturally occurring tumors. *Am J Vet Res* **73**: 112–118.
- Kubiak, A.M., and Minton, N.P. (2015) The potential of clostridial spores as therapeutic delivery vehicles in tumour therapy. *Res Microbiol* **166**: 244–254.
- Le, D.T., Brockstedt, D.G., Nir-Paz, R., Hampl, J., Mathur, S., Nemunaitis, J., et al. (2012) A live-attenuated *Listeria* vaccine (ANZ-100) and a live-attenuated *Listeria* vaccine expressing mesothelin (CRS-207) for advanced cancers: phase I studies of safety and immune induction. *Clin Cancer Res* **18**: 858–868.
- Leschner, S., Westphal, K., Dietrich, N., Viegas, N., Jablonska, J., Lyszkiewicz, M., et al. (2009) Tumor invasion of *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium is accompanied by strong hemorrhage promoted by TNF- α . *PLoS ONE* **4**: e6692.
- Low, K.B., Ippensohn, M., Luo, X., Zheng, L.-M., King, I., Pawelek, J.M., and Bermudes, D. (2004) Construction of VNP20009. In *Suicide Gene Therapy*. Springer, C.J. (ed.). Humana Press: New York City, pp. 47–59.
- Maciag, P.C., Radulovic, S., and Rothman, J. (2009) The first clinical use of a live-attenuated *Listeria monocytogenes* vaccine: a Phase I safety study of Lm-LLO-E7 in patients with advanced carcinoma of the cervix. *Vaccine* **27**: 3975–3983.
- McCarthy, E.F. (2006) The toxins of William B. Coley and the treatment of bone and soft-tissue sarcomas. *Iowa Orthop J* **26**: 154–158.
- Mercado-Lubo, R., Zhang, Y., Zhao, L., Rossi, K., Wu, X., Zou, Y., et al. (2016) A *Salmonella* nanoparticle mimic overcomes multidrug resistance in tumours. *Nat Commun* **7**: 12225.
- Nagaraja, V., and Eslick, G.D. (2014) Systematic review with meta-analysis: the relationship between chronic *Salmonella typhi* carrier status and gall-bladder cancer. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* **39**: 745–750.
- Nemunaitis, J., Cunningham, C., Senzer, N., Kuhn, J., Cramm, J., Litz, C., et al. (2003) Pilot trial of genetically modified, attenuated *Salmonella* expressing the *E. coli* cytosine deaminase gene in refractory cancer patients. *Cancer Gene Ther* **10**: 737–744.
- Nguyen, V.H., Kim, H.-S., Ha, J.-M., Hong, Y., Choy, H.E., and Min, J.-J. (2010) Genetically engineered *Salmonella* typhimurium as an imageable therapeutic probe for cancer. *Cancer Res* **70**: 18–23.
- Paterson, Y., Guiraldina, P.D., and Wood, L.M. (2010) *Listeria* and *Salmonella* bacterial vectors of tumor-associated antigens for cancer immunotherapy. *Semin Immunol* **22**: 183–189.
- Pawelek, J.M., Low, K.B., and Bermudes, D. (1997) Tumor-targeted *Salmonella* as a novel anticancer vector. *Cancer Res* **57**: 4537–4544.
- Perica, K., Varela, J.C., Oelke, M., and Schneck, J. (2015) Adoptive T cell immunotherapy for cancer. *Rambam Maimonides Med J* **6**: e0004.
- Ray, K. (2011) Colorectal cancer: *Fusobacterium nucleatum* found in colon cancer tissue could an infection cause colorectal cancer? *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* **8**: 662.
- Redelman-Sidi, G., Glickman, M.S., and Bochner, B.H. (2014) The mechanism of action of BCG therapy for bladder cancer—a current perspective. *Nat Rev Urol* **11**: 153–162.
- Roberts, N.J., Zhang, L., Janku, F., Collins, A., Bai, R.-Y., Staedtke, V., et al. (2014) Intratumoral injection of *Clostridium novyi*-NT spores induces antitumor responses. *Sci Transl Med* **6**: 249ra111.
- Ruby, T., McLaughlin, L., Gopinath, S., and Monack, D. (2012) *Salmonella*'s long-term relationship with its host. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **36**: 600–615.
- Schmitt, C.K., Darnell, S.C., Tesh, V.L., Stocker, B.A., and O'Brien, A.D. (1994) Mutation of *flgM* attenuates virulence of *Salmonella* typhimurium, and mutation of *fliA* represses the attenuated phenotype. *J Bacteriol* **176**: 368–377.

- St. Jean, A.T., Swofford, C.A., Panteli, J.T., Brentzel, Z.J., and Forbes, N.S. (2014) Bacterial delivery of *Staphylococcus aureus* α -hemolysin causes regression and necrosis in murine tumors. *Mol Ther* **22**: 1266–1274.
- Staedtke, V., Bai, R.-Y., Sun, W., Huang, J., Kibler, K.K., Tyler, B.M., *et al.* (2015) *Clostridium novyi*-NT can cause regression of orthotopically implanted glioblastomas in rats. *Oncotarget* **6**: 5536–5546.
- Toso, J.F., Gill, V.J., Hwu, P., Marincola, F.M., Restifo, N.P., Schwartzentruber, D.J., *et al.* (2002) Phase I study of the intravenous administration of attenuated *Salmonella typhimurium* to patients with metastatic melanoma. *J Clin Oncol* **20**: 142–152.
- Wang, F., Meng, W., Wang, B., and Qiao, L. (2014) *Helicobacter pylori*-induced gastric inflammation and gastric cancer. *Cancer Lett* **345**: 196–202.
- Weiner, L.M., Surana, R., and Wang, S. (2010) Monoclonal antibodies: versatile platforms for cancer immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Immunol* **10**: 317–327.
- Westphal, K., Leschner, S., Jablonska, J., Loessner, H., and Weiss, S. (2008) Containment of tumor-colonizing bacteria by host neutrophils. *Cancer Res* **68**: 2952–2960.
- Yu, Y.A., Shabahang, S., Timiryasova, T.M., Zhang, Q., Beltz, R., Gentschev, I., *et al.* (2004) Visualization of tumors and metastases in live animals with bacteria and vaccinia virus encoding light-emitting proteins. *Nat Biotechnol* **22**: 313–320.
- Yun, M., Pan, S., Jiang, S.-N., Nguyen, V.H., Park, S.-H., Jung, C.-H., *et al.* (2012) Effect of *Salmonella* treatment on an implanted tumor (CT26) in a mouse model. *J Microbiol* **50**: 502–510.
- Zhang, Y., Cao, W., Toneri, M., Zhang, N., Kiyuna, T., Murakami, T., *et al.* (2017) Toxicology and efficacy of tumor-targeting *Salmonella typhimurium* A1-R compared to VNP 20009 in a syngeneic mouse tumor model in immunocompetent mice. *Oncotarget* [Epub ahead of print] doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.17605.
- Zhao, M., Yang, M., Ma, H., Li, X., Tan, X., Li, S., *et al.* (2006) Targeted therapy with a *Salmonella typhimurium* leucine-arginine auxotroph cures orthotopic human breast tumors in nude mice. *Cancer Res* **66**: 7647–7652.
- Zheng, J.H., Nguyen, V.H., Jiang, S.-N., Park, S.-H., Tan, W., Hong, S.H., *et al.* (2017) Two-step enhanced cancer immunotherapy with engineered *Salmonella typhimurium* secreting heterologous flagellin. *Sci Transl Med* **9**: eaak9537.